UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAM	IED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/698,448	11/03/2003	David	Sparrowe	MERCK-2775	3497	
	7590 01/24/200 TE, ZELANO & BRA	EXA	EXAMINER			
2200 CLAREN	•	,		LISTVOYB, GREGORY		
SUITE 1400 ARLINGTON, VA 22201				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,				1711		
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		·	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAI	L DATE	DELIVE	DELIVERY MODE	
31 D.	AYS	01/2	24/2007	PA	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		1 A 1:		- u
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/698,448	SPARROWE ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Gregory Listvoyb	1711	
The MAILING DAT Period for Reply	TE of this communication ap	pears on the cover she	et with the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATU WHICHEVER IS LONGE - Extensions of time may be avail after SIX (6) MONTHS from the - If NO period for reply is specified - Failure to reply within the set or	ER, FROM THE MAILING D able under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 mailing date of this communication. d above, the maximum statutory period extended period for reply will, by statute later than three months after the mailin	ATE OF THIS COMM 136(a). In no event, however, n will apply and will expire SIX (6 a, cause the application to beco	nay a reply be timely filed) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communime ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·
Status				
2a)☐ This action is FINA 3)☐ Since this applicat	·	action is non-final. Ince except for formal	matters, prosecution as to the meri C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	its is
Disposition of Claims	•			
4a) Of the above cl 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/3 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/3 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/3	are rejected.	wn from consideration		
Application Papers				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed Applicant may not re		cepted or b) objected drawing(s) be held in ab	d to by the Examiner. reyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). wing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.1	21(d).
11)☐ The oath or declara	ation is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the atta	ched Office Action or form PTO-15	2.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	119			
a) All b) Some 1. Certified cop 2. Certified cop 3. Copies of the application for	ies of the priority document ies of the priority document	is have been received is have been received rity documents have b u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	in Application No een received in this National Stage	;
Attachment(s)	·			
2) Social of References Cited (f 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Pate 3) Information Disclosure Stater Paper No(s)/Mail Date	nt Drawing Review (PTO-948) nent(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper	iew Summary (PTO-413) r No(s)/Mail Date e of Informal Patent Application :	

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-10 drawn to Group 1, classified in class 524, subclass 356 and class 428 subclass 339.
- II. Claims 11-17, drawn to Group 2, classified in class 564, subclass 472.
- III. Claims 17-21, drawn to Group 3, classified in class 525, subclass 199.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions 1 and 2 are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product, and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.05(j)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as a part of crosslinkable polymer composition and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct because there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gregory Listvoyb whose telephone number is (571) 272-6105. The examiner can normally be reached on 9am-6pm.

Art Unit: 1711

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck can be reached on (571) 272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Gregory Listvoyb Examiner Art Unit 1711

**

James J. Seidleck Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1700